



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,008	02/22/2002	Jean Herscovici	ST98046 US PCT	9262
,	590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
ROSS J. OEH	ILER		MARVICH	I, MARIA
AVENTIS PH.	ARMACEUTICALS INC.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROUTE 202-2 MAIL CODE:			1636	
RRIDGEWAT			E 100 14 H ED 10/06/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/857,008	HERSCOVICI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Maria B Marvich, PhD	1636				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/30	<u>/01</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Notice of Drainsperson's Fatent Drawing Neview (FT0-940)							

Art Unit: 1636

Election/Restrictions

This application is in response to a preliminary amendment filed 5/30/01. Claim 30 has been cancelled. Claims 34 and 35 have been added. Claims 1-29 and 31-35 are pending in the instant application and are subject to the following restriction requirement.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-17, drawn to an agent for transferring nucleic acids.

Group II, claims 18-29 and 34-35 are drawn to a composition comprising an agent for transferring nucleic acids and a nucleic acid.

Group III, claim 31 drawn to a method for treating a human or animal by transferring nucleic acids.

Group IV, claims 32-33 drawn to a method for transferring nucleic acids into cells.

PCT Rule 13.2 requires that unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Group I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding technical feature. The "special technical feature" of Group I is an agent for transferring nucleic acids comprising a hydrophobic spacer chemically linked firstly to a polycation and secondly to at least one hydrophilic substituent, which is shown by Sullivan (US

Art Unit: 1636

5,583,020, see column 10, line 10-60), to lack novelty of inventive step and does not make a contribution over the prior art.

As the special technical feature of Group I-IV lacks novelty, the inventions of Group I-IV do not form a single general inventive concept and instead are composed of the following inventions. Group I is drawn to an agent comprising a hydrophobic spacer chemically linked firstly to a polycation and secondly to at least on hydrophilic substituent. Group II is drawn to a transfer agent and a nucleic acid. Group III is drawn to a method of treating a human or animal by transferring nucleic acids *in vivo*. Group IV is drawn to a method of transferring nucleic acids into cells.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Groups I-IV Applicant must elect a single structure for examination upon election of a group. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The structures each have distinct chemical structures

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provision of MPEP 821.04. Process claims that depend for or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable produce will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or

Art Unit: 1636

allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendment submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirements for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 USC 101, 101, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claim in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 USC 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 USC 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected inventions, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1636

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maria B Marvich, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 605-

1207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Remy Yucel, PhD can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular

communications and (703) 305-4242 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the patent

analyst, Zeta Adams, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3291.

Maria B Marvich, PhD

Examiner

GERRY LEFFERS

PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 1, 2004

Page 5